

A + 1
23 May 1963

SUBJECT: Contract SC-58, Reimbursement of [redacted]
Portal-to-Portal Transportation Expenses

STAT

TO: [redacted]

STAT

Reference our letter of 21 February 1963 and your reply,
OSA-2337-63 dated 7 May 1963.

Referenced correspondence pertained to the question of reim-
bursing Lockheed for transportation expenses incurred by Tech Rep
[redacted] in traveling between Nielson AFB and his residence in
Fairbanks.

STAT

We have further investigated Air Force policy and have found
that reimbursement can be authorized for [redacted] portal-to-portal
expenses in view of the extenuating circumstances involved. Reim-
bursement of actual mileage traveled between Nielson and his
residence in performance of his duty assignment is therefore auth-
orized. His personal driving expenses, however, are not reimbur-
sable.

STAT

This authorization is not to be considered as a precedent
for across-the-board approval for the other FOG tech reps. Should
the problem present itself again, it will have to be judged on its
own merits.

Wayne

WNS:maw

*This is authority to pay to Lockheed on Contract
SC-58 the amount of \$149.14, which was deducted
on number 2-19 Invoice.*

W. Meyer
Hayden
W. Meyer
23 May 1963

W. Meyer
SUBJECT: Contract ~~SC-58~~, Reimbursement of [redacted]
Portal-to-Portal Transportation Expenses

STAT

TO: [redacted]

STAT

Reference our letter of 21 February 1963 and your reply,
OSA-2337-63 dated 7 May 1963.

Referenced correspondence pertained to the question of reim-
bursing Lockheed for transportation expenses incurred by Tech Rep
[redacted] in traveling between Eielson AFB and his residence in
Fairbanks.

STAT

We have further investigated Air Force policy and have found
that reimbursement can be authorized for [redacted] portal-to-portal
expenses in view of the extenuating circumstances involved. Reim-
bursement of actual mileage traveled between Eielson and his
residence in performance of his duty assignment is therefore auth-
orized. His personal driving expenses, however, are not reimbur-
sable.

STAT

This authorization is not to be considered as a precedent
for across-the-board approval for the other FOG tech reps. Should
the problem present itself again, it will have to be judged on its
own merits.

STAT

[redacted]
Wayne/

WES:maw

SECRET

OSA-2337-63

7 May 1963

Dear Wayne:

Attached is a copy of contractor's justification for charging mileage cost. These were diallowed at your suggestion.

Please review and advise of any other action to be taken in this matter.

Very truly yours,

Sh. 1

25X1

TOM

Attachment: a/s

Dist: Orig. & 1 - Addressee (w/att)
✓ 1 - Contract SC/58 (OSA-Fin)
1 - Reading (OSA-Fin)
1 - RB/OSA

CJM:let/OSA-Finance/7 May 1963

get w/ to date

OSA-2431-63
COPY 1 OF 1

30 April 1963

Contract SC-58, Disallowed Costs

Ref: OSA-2169-63
OSA-1192-63

12-31
1-18

Dear Tom:

Representative was assigned to Eielson AFB for a one year or longer period to achieve better utilization of the service representatives as a group, as mutually agreed between 40-80th [redacted] and the contractor. A factor in this extended assignment, family on base housing was approved by the governing parties but could not be provided due to crowded conditions at Eielson AFB.

STAT

At this time the problem of transportation between the base and Fairbanks was discussed with [redacted]. Instead of charging car rental and mileage for the extended period, it was agreed that the representative would supply his own car for this purpose, charging only for the mileage involved.

STAT

We feel that the costs of maintaining a representative in Alaska is being held to a minimum and that operating conditions were properly reviewed well in advance.

In view of the above we feel that this mileage is a proper contract charge and we will appreciate your approval and payment of same.

Very truly yours,

[redacted]

Hal

STAT

*Incurred
5-6-63*

SECRET

OSA-2169-63

22 April 1963

Lockheed Aircraft Corporation
Burbank, California

REFERENCE: Contract SC-58

Dear Hal:

Your invoice number 2-19 under the cited contract has been processed for payment in the amount of \$16,121.14 which is \$149.14 less than the amount billed.

We have deducted the following costs which were billed on invoices 12-31 and 1-18 and which were questioned by Wayne as follows:

25X1

<u>Invoice No.</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>ON-BASE Mileage</u>	<u>Amount</u>
12-31	1 December - 2 December 1962	141	\$ 11.28
1-18	3 December - 30 December 1962	<u>1754</u>	<u>\$137.86</u>
	TOTAL	<u>1895</u>	<u>\$149.14</u>

See attached copy of letter from Wayne dated 21 February 1963.

Very truly yours,

TOM

Attachment: a/s

Dist: Orig. & 1 - Addressee (w/att)
 1 - C/Contracts Div., OSA
 1 - Contract SC-58 (OSA/BF)
 1 - Reading (OSA/BF)
 1 - RB/OSA

KFG:let/OSA-Budget & Finance/22 April 1963